Appl. No. 10/516,645

Amdt. dated July 18, 2007

Reply to Office action of June 22, 2007

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Reconsideration of the present application as amended is respectfully requested.

Claims 1-25 remain in this application. Claims 14-16 and 21-22 have been withdrawn.

In the Office action in this application mailed June 22, 2007, the Examiner required restriction under 35 U.S.C. \$121 among a Species I drawn to Claims 14-16 which recite an output fraction R_{sr} of melatonin suppressive radiation, a Species II drawn to Claims 19-20 which recite a mass to area ratio C and a Species III drawn to Claims 21-22 which recite a vapor pressure of mercury p_{hg} .

Pursuant to this restriction requirement, Applicants make a provisional election with traverse to prosecute Species II which includes Claims 19-20. By means of the present amendment the non-elected Claims 14-16 and 21-22 have been withdrawn, without prejudice.

In addition, in order to put those claims in better (U.S.) form, without in any way limiting the scope of those Claims, Claims 2-8, 9, 11-13, 17,19 and 21-25 have been amended by removing the reference numerals, "characterized

Appl. No. 10/516,645 Amdt. dated July 18, 2007 Reply to Office action of June 22, 2007

in that" has been changed to "wherein" in Claims 1-25, and the preambles of the dependent Claims have been amended to recite "The ... lamp ... claimed ..." instead of "A ... lamp ... as claimed"

In view of the above, it is respectfully submitted that the present application is in condition for allowance, and a Notice of Allowance is earnestly solicited.

If any informalities remain, the Examiner is requested to telephone the undersigned in order to expedite allowance.

Please charge any fee deficiencies and credit any overpayments to Deposit Account No. 14-1270.

Respectfully submitted,

Frank Keegan, Reg. 50,145

Attorney

(914) 333-9669 July 18, 2007